

**REMARKS**

This Amendment is submitted with a Request for Continued Examination. By this Amendment, claims 1-20 will be pending in the application. Claims 1 and 8 are independent claims. Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

**Claim Amendments**

Claims 1 and 8 have been amended to clarify certain features of applicants' the invention. Claim 1 has also been amended to correct informalities that the Examiner helpfully identified. Claim 8 has been amended to change "a first queue" to "a second queue," which was recited in claim 8 as originally filed. Claim 15 has been amended for consistency with claim 8. No new matter has been added.

**Art Rejections**

Claims 1-20 are pending in the application. Claims 1-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,850,517 issued to Verkler *et al.* (hereinafter "Verkler"); claims 8-13, 15, 16, and 20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,665,711 to Boyle *et al.* (hereinafter "Boyle") in view of Verkler; claim 14 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Boyle in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,643,650 to Slaughter *et al.* (hereinafter "Slaughter"); and claims 17-19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Boyle in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,700,902 to Meyer (hereinafter "Meyer").

Claim 1 has been amended to correct informalities that the Examiner helpfully identified. Specifically, applicants have changed "basestation" to "base station."

Independent claims 1 and 8 have been amended to recite that the intermediary computer system effects priority treatment of an actual session connection between the wireless mobile communication device and the remote computer network (*see, e.g.,* the paragraph bridging pages 21 and 22 of the application). In view of the Examiner's comments

set forth in the Advisory Action dated July 6, 2005, it is believed that the claim amendments overcome the claim rejections and places the application in condition for allowance.

Withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of claims 1 and 8 are respectfully requested. The remaining claims 2–7 and 9–20 are allowable at least by virtue of dependency.

**Conclusion**

In light of the above, withdrawal of the rejections and objections of record are respectfully requested so that the present application may pass to issuance. Should there be any questions in connection with this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the number below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 29, 2005



Sandra D. M. Brown  
**JONES DAY**  
222 East 41<sup>st</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10017  
(212) 326-3939

Reg. No. 52,663